Headquarters Action Tracking System (HATS) Outgoing Correspondence Action

L/2005-00010

Title:

Brubaker/FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities

Summary of Completed Competitions

Recipient:

Multiple

Organization:

House and Senate

LB/Brubaker:H

Originator:

L/Forsgren

Origination Date:

12/27/2004

Date Submitted:

Date Signed:

12/27/2004

Date Concurred:

Date Closed:

01/06/2005

>>Current Due Date:

12/27/2004<<

Original Due Date:

12/27/2004

Status:

Closed

Signature Office:

Action Office:

L/Forsgren

Info Offices:

LD/Rothman

Abstract:

Providing to Congress NASA's FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Completed Competitions.

Comments:

Enclosures:

Report to Congress

Related Records:

Keywords: Competitive Sourcing

File Plan:

1150.207

Analyst: SWebbQue

01/06/2005

4:38 pm

Page 1 of 1

Headquarters

Washington, DC 20546-0001



December 27, 2004

Reply to Attn of:

Office of Legislative Affairs SB/amb

The Honorable Richard B. Cheney President of the Senate Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. President:

We are pleased to provide NASA's FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Completed Competitions, the FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Announced Competitions, and the FY 2003 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary Savings and Performance Update. These reports respond to the direction in Section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY 2004 (P.L. 108-199) and Office of Management and Budget Memorandum, dated October 15, 2004.

For more than two decades NASA has pursued a strategy of allowing the private sector to perform standard commercial activities, including awarding contracts for virtually all new projects, as well as expansions of existing work. Most of the activities now competed at many agencies have already been exhausted by NASA as candidates for potential savings due to the conversion of work performed by civil servants to contractor performance prior to the May 29, 2003 change to OMB Circular A-76. Because so many activities at NASA are already performed by non-Federal entities, NASA has aggressively sought out nontraditional ways to further introduce the forces of competition. The result has been NASA's implementation of competitive sourcing in the unique environment of scientific research to obtain the highest possible quality science.

Additionally, NASA announced two standard competitions in December 2003 (FY 2004) to be completed in FY 2005. The first competition is for the NASA Langley Research Center, Metallic Test Article Development and General and Precision Machining Services activity. The second is the NASA Shared Services Center (NSSC). The NSSC is an agency-wide consolidation of multiple business activities at a single location that will allow the Agency to redeploy staff and budget to core mission activities. We will continue to monitor and assess the results as these competitions progress to ensure accountability and improvement.

The state of the s

Cordially,

D. Lee Forsgren

Assistant Administrator

Office of Legislative Affairs

D. hea Forspur

Headquarters

Washington, DC 20546-0001



December 27, 2004

Reply to Attn of

Office of Legislative Affairs SB/amb

The Honorable Dennis Hastert Speaker of the House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Speaker:

We are pleased to provide NASA's FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Completed Competitions, the FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Announced Competitions, and the FY 2003 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary Savings and Performance Update. These reports respond to the direction in Section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY 2004 (P.L. 108-199) and Office of Management and Budget Memorandum, dated October 15, 2004.

For more than two decades NASA has pursued a strategy of allowing the private sector to perform standard commercial activities, including awarding contracts for virtually all new projects, as well as expansions of existing work. Most of the activities now competed at many agencies have already been exhausted by NASA as candidates for potential savings due to the conversion of work performed by civil servants to contractor performance prior to the May 29, 2003 change to OMB Circular A-76. Because so many activities at NASA are already performed by non-Federal entities, NASA has aggressively sought out nontraditional ways to further introduce the forces of competition. The result has been NASA's implementation of competitive sourcing in the unique environment of scientific research to obtain the highest possible quality science.

Cordially,

D. Lee Forsgren

Assistant Administrator

Office of Legislative Affairs

Headquarters

Washington, DC 20546-0001



December 27, 2004

Reply to Attn of:

Office of Legislative Affairs SB/amb

The Honorable Sherwood L. Boehlert Chairman Committee on Science House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

We are pleased to provide NASA's FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Completed Competitions, the FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Announced Competitions, and the FY 2003 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary Savings and Performance Update. These reports respond to the direction in Section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY 2004 (P.L. 108-199) and Office of Management and Budget Memorandum, dated October 15, 2004.

For more than two decades NASA has pursued a strategy of allowing the private sector to perform standard commercial activities, including awarding contracts for virtually all new projects, as well as expansions of existing work. Most of the activities now competed at many agencies have already been exhausted by NASA as candidates for potential savings due to the conversion of work performed by civil servants to contractor performance prior to the May 29, 2003 change to OMB Circular A-76. Because so many activities at NASA are already performed by non-Federal entities, NASA has aggressively sought out nontraditional ways to further introduce the forces of competition. The result has been NASA's implementation of competitive sourcing in the unique environment of scientific research to obtain the highest possible quality science.

Cordially,

D. Lee Forsgren

Assistant Administrator

Office of Legislative Affairs

Headquarters

Washington, DC 20546-0001



December 27, 2004

Reply to Attn of:

Office of Legislative Affairs SB/amb

The Honorable Bart Gordon Ranking Democrat Committee on Science House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Gordon:

We are pleased to provide NASA's FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Completed Competitions, the FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Announced Competitions, and the FY 2003 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary Savings and Performance Update. These reports respond to the direction in Section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY 2004 (P.L. 108-199) and Office of Management and Budget Memorandum, dated October 15, 2004.

For more than two decades NASA has pursued a strategy of allowing the private sector to perform standard commercial activities, including awarding contracts for virtually all new projects, as well as expansions of existing work. Most of the activities now competed at many agencies have already been exhausted by NASA as candidates for potential savings due to the conversion of work performed by civil servants to contractor performance prior to the May 29, 2003 change to OMB Circular A-76. Because so many activities at NASA are already performed by non-Federal entities, NASA has aggressively sought out nontraditional ways to further introduce the forces of competition. The result has been NASA's implementation of competitive sourcing in the unique environment of scientific research to obtain the highest possible quality science.

Cordially,

D. Lee Forsgren

Assistant Administrator

Office of Legislative Affairs

D. hee Forson

Headquarters

Washington, DC 20546-0001



December 27, 2004

Reply to Attn of:

Office of Legislative Affairs SB/amb

The Honorable Dana Rohrabacher Chairman Subcommittee on Space and Aeronautics Committee on Science House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

We are pleased to provide NASA's FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Completed Competitions, the FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Announced Competitions, and the FY 2003 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary Savings and Performance Update. These reports respond to the direction in Section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY 2004 (P.L. 108-199) and Office of Management and Budget Memorandum, dated October 15, 2004.

For more than two decades NASA has pursued a strategy of allowing the private sector to perform standard commercial activities, including awarding contracts for virtually all new projects, as well as expansions of existing work. Most of the activities now competed at many agencies have already been exhausted by NASA as candidates for potential savings due to the conversion of work performed by civil servants to contractor performance prior to the May 29, 2003 change to OMB Circular A-76. Because so many activities at NASA are already performed by non-Federal entities, NASA has aggressively sought out nontraditional ways to further introduce the forces of competition. The result has been NASA's implementation of competitive sourcing in the unique environment of scientific research to obtain the highest possible quality science.

Cordially,

D. Lee Forsgren

Assistant Administrator

Office of Legislative Affairs

I hee Form

Headquarters

Washington, DC 20546-0001



December 27, 2004

Reply to Attn of:

Office of Legislative Affairs SB/amb

The Honorable Nick Lampson
Ranking Democrat
Subcommittee on Space and Aeronautics
Committee on Science
House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Lampson:

We are pleased to provide NASA's FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Completed Competitions, the FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Announced Competitions, and the FY 2003 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary Savings and Performance Update. These reports respond to the direction in Section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY 2004 (P.L. 108-199) and Office of Management and Budget Memorandum, dated October 15, 2004.

For more than two decades NASA has pursued a strategy of allowing the private sector to perform standard commercial activities, including awarding contracts for virtually all new projects, as well as expansions of existing work. Most of the activities now competed at many agencies have already been exhausted by NASA as candidates for potential savings due to the conversion of work performed by civil servants to contractor performance prior to the May 29, 2003 change to OMB Circular A-76. Because so many activities at NASA are already performed by non-Federal entities, NASA has aggressively sought out nontraditional ways to further introduce the forces of competition. The result has been NASA's implementation of competitive sourcing in the unique environment of scientific research to obtain the highest possible quality science.

Cordially,

D. Lee Forsgren

Assistant Administrator

Office of Legislative Affairs

Headquarters

Washington, DC 20546-0001



December 27, 2004

Reply to Attn of:

Office of Legislative Affairs SB/amb

The Honorable John McCain Chairman Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation United States Senate Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

We are pleased to provide NASA's FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Completed Competitions, the FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Announced Competitions, and the FY 2003 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary Savings and Performance Update. These reports respond to the direction in Section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY 2004 (P.L. 108-199) and Office of Management and Budget Memorandum, dated October 15, 2004.

For more than two decades NASA has pursued a strategy of allowing the private sector to perform standard commercial activities, including awarding contracts for virtually all new projects, as well as expansions of existing work. Most of the activities now competed at many agencies have already been exhausted by NASA as candidates for potential savings due to the conversion of work performed by civil servants to contractor performance prior to the May 29, 2003 change to OMB Circular A-76. Because so many activities at NASA are already performed by non-Federal entities, NASA has aggressively sought out nontraditional ways to further introduce the forces of competition. The result has been NASA's implementation of competitive sourcing in the unique environment of scientific research to obtain the highest possible quality science.

Cordially,

D. Lee Forsgren

Assistant Administrator

D. hee Forsyn

Office of Legislative Affairs

Headquarters

Washington, DC 20546-0001

NASA

December 27, 2004

Reply to Attn of:

Office of Legislative Affairs SB/amb

The Honorable Ernest F. Hollings Ranking Democrat Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation United States Senate Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Hollings:

We are pleased to provide NASA's FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Completed Competitions, the FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Announced Competitions, and the FY 2003 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary Savings and Performance Update. These reports respond to the direction in Section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY 2004 (P.L. 108-199) and Office of Management and Budget Memorandum, dated October 15, 2004.

For more than two decades NASA has pursued a strategy of allowing the private sector to perform standard commercial activities, including awarding contracts for virtually all new projects, as well as expansions of existing work. Most of the activities now competed at many agencies have already been exhausted by NASA as candidates for potential savings due to the conversion of work performed by civil servants to contractor performance prior to the May 29, 2003 change to OMB Circular A-76. Because so many activities at NASA are already performed by non-Federal entities, NASA has aggressively sought out nontraditional ways to further introduce the forces of competition. The result has been NASA's implementation of competitive sourcing in the unique environment of scientific research to obtain the highest possible quality science.

Cordially,

D. Lee Forsgren

Assistant Administrator

Office of Legislative Affairs

Headquarters

Washington, DC 20546-0001



December 27, 2004

Reply to Attn of:

Office of Legislative Affairs SB/amb

The Honorable Sam Brownback
Chairman
Subcommittee on Science, Technology
and Space
Committee on Commerce, Science
and Transportation
United States Senate
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

We are pleased to provide NASA's FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Completed Competitions, the FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Announced Competitions, and the FY 2003 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary Savings and Performance Update. These reports respond to the direction in Section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY 2004 (P.L. 108-199) and Office of Management and Budget Memorandum, dated October 15, 2004.

For more than two decades NASA has pursued a strategy of allowing the private sector to perform standard commercial activities, including awarding contracts for virtually all new projects, as well as expansions of existing work. Most of the activities now competed at many agencies have already been exhausted by NASA as candidates for potential savings due to the conversion of work performed by civil servants to contractor performance prior to the May 29, 2003 change to OMB Circular A-76. Because so many activities at NASA are already performed by non-Federal entities, NASA has aggressively sought out nontraditional ways to further introduce the forces of competition. The result has been NASA's implementation of competitive sourcing in the unique environment of scientific research to obtain the highest possible quality science.

Additionally, NASA announced two standard competitions in December 2003 (FY 2004) to be completed in FY 2005. The first competition is for the NASA Langley Research Center, Metallic Test Article Development and General and Precision Machining Services activity. The second is the NASA Shared Services Center (NSSC). The NSSC is an agency-wide consolidation of multiple business activities at a single location that will allow the Agency to redeploy staff and budget to core mission activities. We will continue to monitor and assess the results as these competitions progress to ensure accountability and improvement.

realigners consider a second distriction on the **property** and the contract of the contract of

Cordially,

D. Lee Forsgren

Assistant Administrator

Office of Legislative Affairs

Headquarters

Washington, DC 20546-0001



December 27, 2004

Reply to Attn of:

Office of Legislative Affairs SB/amb

The Honorable John B. Breaux
Ranking Democrat
Subcommittee on Science, Technology
and Space
Committee on Commerce, Science
and Transportation
United States Senate
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Breaux:

We are pleased to provide NASA's FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Completed Competitions, the FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Announced Competitions, and the FY 2003 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary Savings and Performance Update. These reports respond to the direction in Section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY 2004 (P.L. 108-199) and Office of Management and Budget Memorandum, dated October 15, 2004.

For more than two decades NASA has pursued a strategy of allowing the private sector to perform standard commercial activities, including awarding contracts for virtually all new projects, as well as expansions of existing work. Most of the activities now competed at many agencies have already been exhausted by NASA as candidates for potential savings due to the conversion of work performed by civil servants to contractor performance prior to the May 29, 2003 change to OMB Circular A-76. Because so many activities at NASA are already performed by non-Federal entities, NASA has aggressively sought out nontraditional ways to further introduce the forces of competition. The result has been NASA's implementation of competitive sourcing in the unique environment of scientific research to obtain the highest possible quality science.

Cordially,

D. Lee Forsgren

Assistant Administrator

D. her Forson

Office of Legislative Affairs

Headquarters

Washington, DC 20546-0001

NASA

December 27, 2004

Reply to Attn of

Office of Legislative Affairs SB/amb

The Honorable Christopher S. Bond Chairman Subcommittee on VA-HUD-Independent Agencies Committee on Appropriations United States Senate Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

We are pleased to provide NASA's FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Completed Competitions, the FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Announced Competitions, and the FY 2003 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary Savings and Performance Update. These reports respond to the direction in Section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY 2004 (P.L. 108-199) and Office of Management and Budget Memorandum, dated October 15, 2004.

For more than two decades NASA has pursued a strategy of allowing the private sector to perform standard commercial activities, including awarding contracts for virtually all new projects, as well as expansions of existing work. Most of the activities now competed at many agencies have already been exhausted by NASA as candidates for potential savings due to the conversion of work performed by civil servants to contractor performance prior to the May 29, 2003 change to OMB Circular A-76. Because so many activities at NASA are already performed by non-Federal entities, NASA has aggressively sought out nontraditional ways to further introduce the forces of competition. The result has been NASA's implementation of competitive sourcing in the unique environment of scientific research to obtain the highest possible quality science.

Cordially,

D. Lee Forsgren

Assistant Administrator

Office of Legislative Affairs

Headquarters

Washington, DC 20546-0001



December 27, 2004

Reply to Attn of

Office of Legislative Affairs SB/amb

The Honorable Barbara A. Mikulski Ranking Democrat Subcommittee on VA-HUD-Independent Agencies Committee on Appropriations United States Senate Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Mikulski:

We are pleased to provide NASA's FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Completed Competitions, the FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Announced Competitions, and the FY 2003 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary Savings and Performance Update. These reports respond to the direction in Section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY 2004 (P.L. 108-199) and Office of Management and Budget Memorandum, dated October 15, 2004.

For more than two decades NASA has pursued a strategy of allowing the private sector to perform standard commercial activities, including awarding contracts for virtually all new projects, as well as expansions of existing work. Most of the activities now competed at many agencies have already been exhausted by NASA as candidates for potential savings due to the conversion of work performed by civil servants to contractor performance prior to the May 29, 2003 change to OMB Circular A-76. Because so many activities at NASA are already performed by non-Federal entities, NASA has aggressively sought out nontraditional ways to further introduce the forces of competition. The result has been NASA's implementation of competitive sourcing in the unique environment of scientific research to obtain the highest possible quality science.

Cordially,

D. Lee Forsgren

Assistant Administrator

Office of Legislative Affairs

Headquarters

Washington, DC 20546-0001



December 27, 2004

Reply to Attn of:

Office of Legislative Affairs SB/amb

The Honorable James T. Walsh Chairman Subcommittee on VA-HUD-Independent Agencies Committee on Appropriations House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

We are pleased to provide NASA's FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Completed Competitions, the FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Announced Competitions, and the FY 2003 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary Savings and Performance Update. These reports respond to the direction in Section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY 2004 (P.L. 108-199) and Office of Management and Budget Memorandum, dated October 15, 2004.

For more than two decades NASA has pursued a strategy of allowing the private sector to perform standard commercial activities, including awarding contracts for virtually all new projects, as well as expansions of existing work. Most of the activities now competed at many agencies have already been exhausted by NASA as candidates for potential savings due to the conversion of work performed by civil servants to contractor performance prior to the May 29, 2003 change to OMB Circular A-76. Because so many activities at NASA are already performed by non-Federal entities, NASA has aggressively sought out nontraditional ways to further introduce the forces of competition. The result has been NASA's implementation of competitive sourcing in the unique environment of scientific research to obtain the highest possible quality science.

Cordially,

D. Lee Forsgren

Assistant Administrator

Office of Legislative Affairs

D hea Forson

Headquarters

Washington, DC 20546-0001



December 27, 2004

Reply to Attn of

Office of Legislative Affairs SB/amb

The Honorable Alan B. Mollohan Ranking Democrat Subcommittee on VA-HUD-Independent Agencies Committee on Appropriations House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Mollohan:

We are pleased to provide NASA's FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Completed Competitions, the FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Announced Competitions, and the FY 2003 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary Savings and Performance Update. These reports respond to the direction in Section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY 2004 (P.L. 108-199) and Office of Management and Budget Memorandum, dated October 15, 2004.

For more than two decades NASA has pursued a strategy of allowing the private sector to perform standard commercial activities, including awarding contracts for virtually all new projects, as well as expansions of existing work. Most of the activities now competed at many agencies have already been exhausted by NASA as candidates for potential savings due to the conversion of work performed by civil servants to contractor performance prior to the May 29, 2003 change to OMB Circular A-76. Because so many activities at NASA are already performed by non-Federal entities, NASA has aggressively sought out nontraditional ways to further introduce the forces of competition. The result has been NASA's implementation of competitive sourcing in the unique environment of scientific research to obtain the highest possible quality science.

Cordially,

D. Lee Forsgren

Assistant Administrator

Office of Legislative Affairs

Headquarters

Washington, DC 20546-0001



December 27, 2004

Reply to Attn of

Office of Legislative Affairs SB/amb

The Honorable Joseph Lieberman Ranking Democrat Committee on Governmental Affairs United States Senate Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Lieberman:

We are pleased to provide NASA's FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Completed Competitions, the FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Announced Competitions, and the FY 2003 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary Savings and Performance Update. These reports respond to the direction in Section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY 2004 (P.L. 108-199) and Office of Management and Budget Memorandum, dated October 15, 2004.

For more than two decades NASA has pursued a strategy of allowing the private sector to perform standard commercial activities, including awarding contracts for virtually all new projects, as well as expansions of existing work. Most of the activities now competed at many agencies have already been exhausted by NASA as candidates for potential savings due to the conversion of work performed by civil servants to contractor performance prior to the May 29, 2003 change to OMB Circular A-76. Because so many activities at NASA are already performed by non-Federal entities, NASA has aggressively sought out nontraditional ways to further introduce the forces of competition. The result has been NASA's implementation of competitive sourcing in the unique environment of scientific research to obtain the highest possible quality science.

Cordially,

D. Lee Forsgren

Assistant Administrator

Office of Legislative Affairs

Headquarters

Washington, DC 20546-0001



December 27, 2004

Reply to Attn of:

Office of Legislative Affairs SB/amb

The Honorable Henry Waxman Ranking Democrat Committee on Government Reform House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Waxman:

We are pleased to provide NASA's FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Completed Competitions, the FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Announced Competitions, and the FY 2003 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary Savings and Performance Update. These reports respond to the direction in Section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY 2004 (P.L. 108-199) and Office of Management and Budget Memorandum, dated October 15, 2004.

For more than two decades NASA has pursued a strategy of allowing the private sector to perform standard commercial activities, including awarding contracts for virtually all new projects, as well as expansions of existing work. Most of the activities now competed at many agencies have already been exhausted by NASA as candidates for potential savings due to the conversion of work performed by civil servants to contractor performance prior to the May 29, 2003 change to OMB Circular A-76. Because so many activities at NASA are already performed by non-Federal entities, NASA has aggressively sought out nontraditional ways to further introduce the forces of competition. The result has been NASA's implementation of competitive sourcing in the unique environment of scientific research to obtain the highest possible quality science.

Cordially,

D. Lee Forsgren

Assistant Administrator

Office of Legislative Affairs

Headquarters

Washington, DC 20546-0001



December 27, 2004

Reply to Attn of:

Office of Legislative Affairs SB/amb

The Honorable Susan Collins Chair Committee on Governmental Affairs United States Senate Washington, DC 20510

Dear Madam Chair:

We are pleased to provide NASA's FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Completed Competitions, the FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Announced Competitions, and the FY 2003 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary Savings and Performance Update. These reports respond to the direction in Section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY 2004 (P.L. 108-199) and Office of Management and Budget Memorandum, dated October 15, 2004.

For more than two decades NASA has pursued a strategy of allowing the private sector to perform standard commercial activities, including awarding contracts for virtually all new projects, as well as expansions of existing work. Most of the activities now competed at many agencies have already been exhausted by NASA as candidates for potential savings due to the conversion of work performed by civil servants to contractor performance prior to the May 29, 2003 change to OMB Circular A-76. Because so many activities at NASA are already performed by non-Federal entities, NASA has aggressively sought out nontraditional ways to further introduce the forces of competition. The result has been NASA's implementation of competitive sourcing in the unique environment of scientific research to obtain the highest possible quality science.

Cordially,

D. Lee Forsgren

Assistant Administrator

Office of Legislative Affairs

D. hea Forson

Headquarters

Washington, DC 20546-0001



December 27, 2004

Reply to Attn of

Office of Legislative Affairs SB/amb

The Honorable Thomas Davis, III Chairman Committee on Government Reform House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

We are pleased to provide NASA's FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Completed Competitions, the FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Announced Competitions, and the FY 2003 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary Savings and Performance Update. These reports respond to the direction in Section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY 2004 (P.L. 108-199) and Office of Management and Budget Memorandum, dated October 15, 2004.

For more than two decades NASA has pursued a strategy of allowing the private sector to perform standard commercial activities, including awarding contracts for virtually all new projects, as well as expansions of existing work. Most of the activities now competed at many agencies have already been exhausted by NASA as candidates for potential savings due to the conversion of work performed by civil servants to contractor performance prior to the May 29, 2003 change to OMB Circular A-76. Because so many activities at NASA are already performed by non-Federal entities, NASA has aggressively sought out nontraditional ways to further introduce the forces of competition. The result has been NASA's implementation of competitive sourcing in the unique environment of scientific research to obtain the highest possible quality science.

Cordially,

D. Lee Forsgren

Assistant Administrator

Office of Legislative Affairs

Headquarters

Washington, DC 20546-0001



December 27, 2004

Reply to Attn of:

Office of Legislative Affairs SB/amb

The Honorable Ted Stevens Chairman Committee on Appropriations United States Senate Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

We are pleased to provide NASA's FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Completed Competitions, the FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Announced Competitions, and the FY 2003 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary Savings and Performance Update. These reports respond to the direction in Section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY 2004 (P.L. 108-199) and Office of Management and Budget Memorandum, dated October 15, 2004.

For more than two decades NASA has pursued a strategy of allowing the private sector to perform standard commercial activities, including awarding contracts for virtually all new projects, as well as expansions of existing work. Most of the activities now competed at many agencies have already been exhausted by NASA as candidates for potential savings due to the conversion of work performed by civil servants to contractor performance prior to the May 29, 2003 change to OMB Circular A-76. Because so many activities at NASA are already performed by non-Federal entities, NASA has aggressively sought out nontraditional ways to further introduce the forces of competition. The result has been NASA's implementation of competitive sourcing in the unique environment of scientific research to obtain the highest possible quality science.

Cordially,

D. Lee Forsgren

Assistant Administrator

Office of Legislative Affairs

Headquarters

Washington, DC 20546-0001

NASA

December 27, 2004

Reply to Attn of:

Office of Legislative Affairs SB/amb

The Honorable Robert C. Byrd Ranking Democrat Committee on Appropriations United States Senate Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Byrd:

We are pleased to provide NASA's FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Completed Competitions, the FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Announced Competitions, and the FY 2003 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary Savings and Performance Update. These reports respond to the direction in Section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY 2004 (P.L. 108-199) and Office of Management and Budget Memorandum, dated October 15, 2004.

For more than two decades NASA has pursued a strategy of allowing the private sector to perform standard commercial activities, including awarding contracts for virtually all new projects, as well as expansions of existing work. Most of the activities now competed at many agencies have already been exhausted by NASA as candidates for potential savings due to the conversion of work performed by civil servants to contractor performance prior to the May 29, 2003 change to OMB Circular A-76. Because so many activities at NASA are already performed by non-Federal entities, NASA has aggressively sought out nontraditional ways to further introduce the forces of competition. The result has been NASA's implementation of competitive sourcing in the unique environment of scientific research to obtain the highest possible quality science.

Cordially,

D. Lee Forsgren

Assistant Administrator

Office of Legislative Affairs

Headquarters

Washington, DC 20546-0001



December 27, 2004

Reply to Attn of:

Office of Legislative Affairs SB/amb

The Honorable David Obey Ranking Democrat Committee on Appropriations House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Obey:

We are pleased to provide NASA's FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Completed Competitions, the FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Announced Competitions, and the FY 2003 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary Savings and Performance Update. These reports respond to the direction in Section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY 2004 (P.L. 108-199) and Office of Management and Budget Memorandum, dated October 15, 2004.

For more than two decades NASA has pursued a strategy of allowing the private sector to perform standard commercial activities, including awarding contracts for virtually all new projects, as well as expansions of existing work. Most of the activities now competed at many agencies have already been exhausted by NASA as candidates for potential savings due to the conversion of work performed by civil servants to contractor performance prior to the May 29, 2003 change to OMB Circular A-76. Because so many activities at NASA are already performed by non-Federal entities, NASA has aggressively sought out nontraditional ways to further introduce the forces of competition. The result has been NASA's implementation of competitive sourcing in the unique environment of scientific research to obtain the highest possible quality science.

Cordially,

D. Lee Forsgren

Assistant Administrator Office of Legislative Affairs

Headquarters

Washington, DC 20546-0001



December 27, 2004

Reply to Attn of

Office of Legislative Affairs SB/amb

The Honorable C.W. Bill Young Chairman Committee on Appropriations House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

We are pleased to provide NASA's FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Completed Competitions, the FY 2004 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary of Announced Competitions, and the FY 2003 Competitive Sourcing Activities Summary Savings and Performance Update. These reports respond to the direction in Section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY 2004 (P.L. 108-199) and Office of Management and Budget Memorandum, dated October 15, 2004.

For more than two decades NASA has pursued a strategy of allowing the private sector to perform standard commercial activities, including awarding contracts for virtually all new projects, as well as expansions of existing work. Most of the activities now competed at many agencies have already been exhausted by NASA as candidates for potential savings due to the conversion of work performed by civil servants to contractor performance prior to the May 29, 2003 change to OMB Circular A-76. Because so many activities at NASA are already performed by non-Federal entities, NASA has aggressively sought out nontraditional ways to further introduce the forces of competition. The result has been NASA's implementation of competitive sourcing in the unique environment of scientific research to obtain the highest possible quality science.

Cordially,

D. Lee Forsgren

Assistant Administrator

Office of Legislative Affairs

The following information is being submitted in response to the October 15, 2004 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) memorandum with the subject "Report to Congress on FY2004 Competitive Sourcing Efforts". The summary reports, required by the subject memorandum, respond to the direction in Section 647(b) of Division F of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for FY 2004 (P.L. 108-199). Information is provided in the attached spreadsheets. The discussion below addresses the estimated cost of central oversight over the Agency's competitive sourcing efforts, the total projected number of Federal employees to be covered by competitions scheduled to be announced in the next fiscal year, and a description of how the competitive sourcing decision making processes of NASA are aligned with the strategic work plan of the Agency.

Fixed Costs

Central direction and oversight of NASA's competitive sourcing effort is provided at the Headquarters level by senior analysts who are dedicated full time to this effort. The Agency Competitive Sourcing Team (ACST) assists the Competitive Sourcing Official through various activities, such as the development of guidance, the performance of research, the processing of deviations for competitions, the development of recommendations, the oversight of actual public-private competitions, and interfacing with the Office of Management and Budget to resolve issues. The ACST is assisted in its role by the competitive sourcing points of contact at all of NASA's Centers who serve as the focal points for the dissemination and collection of information and the identification of issues. For purposes of determining the fixed costs associated with the headquarters analysts fully dedicated to the ACST in FY 2004 total \$503,046. There are no contract costs in support of NASA's central direction and oversight.

<u>Projected Number of Employees Covered by Competitions to be Announced Next Fiscal</u> Year

NASA is reviewing its FY 2004 inventory to further the steps already taken to advance competitive sourcing in the Agency. Improved accuracy in our inventories, combined with a strong competitive sourcing program and strategic human capital focus have led to an even greater in-depth review of the participation by NASA principal investigators in NASA's Broad Agency Announcement process. This resulted in a revised projection of 440 FTEs competing in FY 2005 under the OMB approved deviation for scientific and technical research.

Alignment of Competitive Sourcing and Strategic Work Plan

NASA developed a new management directive in FY 2004 specifying requirements for strategic workforce planning. The directive includes requirements to establish and implement workforce strategies to achieve optimal long-term workforce assignment flexibilities, including an appropriate mix of civil servant workforce and other workforce components, especially contractors. Support contractors outnumber NASA civil servants by over 2 to 1, but in the past, little information was available about the deployment and capabilities of this critical workforce component. We now have a clear picture of the synergy and fit among the three largest workforce components: contractors, inherently governmental civil service and commercial civil service. This information was very useful in studying and designing the NASA Shared Services Center (NSSC) concept. The NSSC is being implemented through a standard public-private competition, which was announced in December 2003.

To correct critical competency gaps identified by our human capital plans, NASA has attempted to maximize the unique science and engineering capabilities within the overall workforce by minimizing the amount of in-house work in the areas of administration and support. NASA identifies activities for potential competitive sourcing through an integrated Agency-wide process that links competitive sourcing opportunities to an overall plan for core capability transformation.

National Aeronautics and Space Administration FY 2004 COMPETITIVE SOURCING ACTIVITIES SUMMARY

COMPLETED COMPETITIONS (Dollars in Millions)

					Competition Descri	otion																
Bureau	Primary Activity Code	Secondary Activity Code	Additional Activity Code	Description of Activity Competed	Type of Competition	Location (State)	# of FTE in study	# of Bids Received	Start Date (Mo/Yr)	End Date (Mo/Yr)	Expected Phase-In Completio n Date (Mo/Yr)	Actual Phase-In Completio n Date (Mo/Yr)	Source Selection Strategy Used	Winning Provider	FY 2004 Costs	Total Cost All Years		Period of estimated savings (in years)	Annualize d Savings	Savings(if	Saving Methodolo gy: Calculatio n/ Proxy	Quantifiable Description of Improvements in Service or Performance (if appropriate)
TREAML	INED COM	1PETITION	S							<u> </u>												
							0	0			-		7		0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000		0
UBTOTA	L, STREAM	ALINED					<u>-</u>															
TANDAR	D COMPET	TITIONS		(v. 18 - 18 1 1 1	i jeri tuna	422.90	1. 1819			-	06/04	06/04	cost-	Note 1	0.000	0.000	0.000	3	0.000	N.A.		World Class Science
VASA	R660 RDT&E		1 (1)	Mission and Science Measurement Technology	Standard competition conducted under a deviation	DC'	52	240	04/03	06/04	06/04	06/04	technical trade-off									
NASA	R660 RDT&E			(MSMT-2004) Engineering for Complex Systems		DC	11	99	06/03	12/03	12/03	12/03	cost- technical trade-off	Note I	0.000	0.000	0.000	3	0.000	N.A.		World Class Science
			5 74	and the factor of a	deviation	100		10.00	24/02	12/03	12/03	12/03	cost-	Note 1	0.000	0.000	0.000	1	0.000	N.A.	1	World Class Science
NASA	R660 RDT&E			Research Opportunities in Space Science	competition conducted under a	DC	9:	2,174	01/03	12/03	12/03	1203	technical trade-off									
NASA	R660 RDT&E			Planetary Data System Nodes NRA	deviation Standard competition conducted under a	DC		1	5 10/03	06/04	06/04	06/04	cost- technical trade-off	Note 1	0,000	0.000	0.000		0,000			World Class Science
NASA	R660 RDT&E			New Frontieres Prograf AO STEP	Standard competition conducted under a	DC		1	6 10/03	07/04	07/04	07/04	cost- technical trade-off	Note 1	0.000	0.00	0:000		0.000			World Class Scienc
NASA	R660 RDT&E			Small Explorers (SMEX) AO	deviation Standard competition conducted under a	DC		6 2	4 02/03	11/03	11/03	11/03	cost- technical trade-off	Note I	0.000	0.00	0.00		5 0.000	N.A.		World Class Scienc
NASA	R660 RDT&E			Ground-Based Studies for NASA Specialized Cente of Research (NSCOR) for the Estimation of Soli Turnor Cancer Risks from Space Radiation	deviation Standard competition r conducted under a deviation d	DC		2	9 06/04	09/04	09/04	09/04	cost- technical trade-off	Note 1	0.00	0.00	0 0.00	0	3 0.00	N.A.		World Class Scienc
					Standard	DC		28 1	60 09/03	06/04	06/04	06/04	cost-	Note 1	0.00	00 0.00	0.00	00	3 0.00	0 N.A.		World Class Scien
NASA	R660 RDT&E			Research Opportunities in Physical Science: Fluid Physics	competition								technical trade-off				<u> </u>					

ıreau	Primary Activity Code	Secondary Activity Code	Additional Activity Code	Description of Activity Competed	Type of Competition	Location (State)	# of FTE in study	# of Bids Received	Start Date (Mo/Yr)	End Date (Mo/Yr)	Expected Phase-In Completio n Date (Mo/Yr)	Actual Phase-In Completio n Date (Mo/Yr)	Source Selection Strategy Used	Winning Provider	FY 2004 Costs	Total Cost All Years		Period of estimated savings (in years)	Annualize d Savings	Actual Savings(if available)	Saving Methodolo gy: Calculatio n/ Proxy	Quantifiable Description of Improvements in Service or Performance (if appropriate) World Class Science
	Cone	Coar		-								06/04	cost-	Note 1	0.000	0.000	0.000	3	0.000	N.A.		World Class Science
				Research	Standard	DC		51	10/03	06/04	06/04	06/04	technical	1100		Į	}		1			
	R660 RDT&E			Opportunities for Ground-Based Research in Space Radiation Biology	competition conducted under a deviation								trade-off									
				and Space Radiation			T. S. F.												3 0.000	0 N.Á.		World Class Science
	4.1			Shielding Materials			8	20	1 04/03	04/04	04/04	04/04	cost-	Note 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	10	3 0.00			
SA	R660 RDT&E			NASA Research Announcement Soliciting Ground-	Standard competition conducted under	DC		50 20	11 04/03				technical trade-off									
				based Research Proposals Biomedical	deviation																	
	1	1	1	Research and Countermeasures																		World Class Science
				Program	Standard	DC		4	24 05/03	04/04	04/04	04/04	cost- technical	Note 1	0.0	00 0.0	00 0.0	00	3 0.00	00 N.A.		
ASA	R660 RDT&E	1		Research Proposals For	competition	į.							trade-off		1							1
	RDT&E			Using Ground- based Analogs O	conducted under deviation	a							cost-	Note 1	0.0	0.0	0.00	000	3 0.0	00 N.A.	-	World Class Scien
				Space Flight Research	Standard	DC		17	119 03/03	02/04	02/04	02/04	technical			1	ļ		1	ļ		1
IASA	R660 RDT&E			Opportunities in Space Biological Sciences,	deviation	га							trade-off									
				Advanced Huma Support Technology	in									Note 1	<u> </u>	.000 0	.000 0	.000	3 0.	000 N.A	_	World Class Scien
				Program Research	Standard	DC		17	103 04/03	12/03	12/03	12/03	cost- technica	1 .								
NASA	R660 RDT&E			Opportunities in Space Life Sciences,		ега							trade-of	r								
			1	Fundamental Space Biology,										31								
	1			Ground Based							10 1.50	44.103	cost-	Note 1		0.000	0.000	0.000	3 0	.000 N.	λ.	World Class Scie
NASA	R660			Research Research Proposals for	Standard competition	DC		1	14 05/03	11/03	11/03	11/03	technic	al								
	RDT&	E .		NASA/ESA/C	NE conducted und	der a															Ì	
				S International					28 02/03	10/03	10/03	10/03	cost-	Note 1		0.000	0.000	0.000	3	0.000 N.	A	World Class Sci
NASA	R660			Rest Study Research Opportunities	Standard in competition	DC		3	28 02/03	10/03			techni trade-									
1	RDT&			Physical Scien Fundamental		der a				10.00	10/03	10/03	cost-	Note		0.000	0.000	0.000	3	0.000 N	.A.	World Class Sc
1116	D660			Physics Interdisciplina	ry Standard	DC	Talkit,	9	334 02/03	10/03	, 10/03	1.0,00	techn	ical		. \						1
NASA	R660 RDT&	EE		Science in the	competition	nder a				5.3			Irade	off						l_		

Bureau	Primary Activity	Secondary Activity Code	Additional Activity Code	Description of Activity Competed	Type of Competition	Location (State)	# of FTE in study	# of Bids Received	Start Date (Mo/Yr)	End Date (Mo/Yr)	Expected Phase-In Completio n Date (Mo/Yr)		Source Selection Strategy Used	Winning Provider	FY 2004 Costs	Total Cost All Years	Estimated		Annualize d Savings	Actual Savings(if available)	Saving Methodolo gy: Calculatio n/ Proxy	Description of Improvements in Service or Performance (if appropriate)
	Code	Code	Couc	J,		Ì					`			N	0.000	0.000	0.000	3	0.000	N.A.		World Class Science
					Standard	DC .	111	436	01/03	12/03	12/03		cost- technical	Note 1	0.000	0.000						
	R660			Earth System Science Research	competition					14.856.863	gara digir		trade-off		L 17 8						'	
. i	RDT&E			using Data and	conducted under a									1052		10.00			1			
1				Products from	deviation		1 4 2 2						Page 13	10. VA.		17.44						
- 1			, y	TERRA, AQUA							li takiy		1.5 %							l		
::				and ACRIM Satellites						late series	144	10.5							1.0		ľ	
				Batemes																	1	
							1000		计读记 集									13.00				1
		×									13.00					a figuritie			1	ļ		
	1.5											1.6				1	0 0.00		0.000	N.A.		World Class Scien
		10 10 10 10				7 10		3 11	8 05/03	03/04	03/04	03/04	cost-	Note I	0,00	0.00	0.00	1	1		Ì	
ASA	R660			New Investigator	Standard competition	DC							technical trade-off			1		1			1	
	RDT&E			Program (NIP)	conducted under	a ?	1 1 1 1						li adc-oii	10	1	0.00	0.00		3 0.000	N.A.		World Class Scien
					deviation		4	11 2:	59 01/04	07/04	07/04	07/04	cost-	Note 1	0.00	0.00	0.00	<u> </u>		ŀ		
NASA	R660			Carbon Cycle	Standard	DC							technical trade-off			ļ				1		
	RDT&E			Science	conducted under	a							(l'ade-on			0.0	0.00		3 0.00	0 N.A.		World Class Scien
				<u> </u>	deviation	100		8	73 07/03	09/04	09/04	09/04	cost-	Note 1	0.00	0.0	.0.00	~				1
NASA	R660			Ocean Surface	Standard	DC		1	1			1	technical trade-off	.			1			1		
	RDT&E			Topography/Scie	conducted under	a		i.,					trade on				00 0.0	00	0.00	0 N.A.		
					deviation		-1,	43 44	178				T-		0.0	0.0	0.0	90				
SUBTOT	AL, STAN	DARD COM	APETITION	IS				.,,,														_
											+-	+					0.0	00	0.0	0.0	00	
DIRECT	CONVER	SIUNS						0							0.0	0.0	0.0	001				
SUBTOT	AL, DIRE	CT CONVE	RSIONS												0.0	0.0	0.0	000	0.0	00 0.0	001	
		IPETITION						443 4	478													

Note 1: NASA science competitions are conducted pursuant to a deviation where its scientists compete with members throughout the scientific community (i.e., industry, academia, and other institutions) to perform world class science projects. Proposals are submitted in response to a broad agency announcement (BAA) and then evaluated through a peer or scientific review process. Award is made on best value as determined by scientific merit and cost reasonableness. Awards are not winner-take-all and may be made to a combination of in-house scientists and private sector sources who each will pursue promising R&D efforts falling within the scope of the BAA. In FY2004, NASA completed 20 competitions involving 443 FTEs. NASA scientist were awarded 10.5% of the work awarded (representing about 197 FTE). Industry and other members of the private sector were awarded the balance of the work (i.e., 89.5%). Performance periods for individual winners under a BAA will vary depending on the specific R&D effort for which an award was made. The general recompetition cycle is 3-5 years.

National Aeronautics and Space Administration FY 2004 COMPETITIVE SOURCING ACTIVITIES SUMMARY

Announced Competitions* (Dollars in Millions)

				Competition	Description						
Bureau	Primary Activity Code	Secondary Activity Code	Additional Activity Code	Description of Activity Competed	Type of Competition	Location (State)	# of FTE in study	Source Selection Strategy Used (If Known)	Start Date (Mo/Yr)	Incremental Costs of Conducting Studies	Anticipated Savings or Quantifiable Description of Improvements in Service or Performance (if available)
TREAMLIN	ED COMPETI	TIONS									
							0			0.000	
UBTOTAL,	STREAMLINE	D COMPETI	TIONS								
TANDARD	COMPETITIO	NS							12/02	0.500	Innovative ideas
VASA	R660 RDT&E			Metallic test article development and general and precision machining services	competition	VA	3/	cost-technical trade-off			and techniques associated with manufacturing complex models
											aircraft flight test, and space flight hardware
NASA	F200 Contracting (Operational)	C300 Accounting Technicians	B700 Personnel Management Specialist	* J. L. Str. 14 17 T. 1965 17 1.11	Standard competition	DC	200	cost-technical trade-off	12/03	2.888	\$6.000 M / Year at Steady State
NASA	R660 RDT&E			Research Opportmity in Space Science 2004	Standard competition conducted under a deviation	DC	N.D.	cost-technical trade-off	01/04	0.000	World Class Science
NASA	R660 RDT&E			Mars Science Laboratory AO	Standard competition conducted under a deviation	DC	N.D.	cost-technical trade-off	04/04	0.000	World Class Scien
NASA	R660 RDT&E			Discovery AO	Standard competition conducted under a deviation	DC	N.D.	cost-technical trade-off	04/04	0.000	World Class Scien
NASA	R660 RDT&E			Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter Measurement Investigations	Standard competition conducted under a deviation	DC	N.D.	cost-technical trade-off			0 World Class Scien
NASA	R660 RDT&E			Ground-Based Studies for Radiation Biology and Radiation Shielding Materials	Standard competition conducted under a deviation	DC	N.D.	cost-technical trade-off			0 World Class Scien
NASA	R660 RDT&E	V 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	1 () 期 () () () () () () () () (Biological and Fluid Physics Research for Human Support	Standard competition conducted under deviation	DC a	N.D.	cost-technical trade-off	06/04	0.00	0 World Class Scien
NASA	R660 RDT&E			Technology Ground-Based Studies for Huma Health in Space	Standard n competition conducted under deviation	DC a	N.D.	cost-technical trade-off	06/04	0.00	00 World Class Scie

Bureau	Primary Activity Code	Secondary Activity Code	Additional Activity Code	Description of Activity Competed	Type of Competition	Location (State)	# of FTE in study	Source Selection Strategy Used (If Known)	Start Date (Mo/Yr)	Incremental Costs of Conducting Studies	Anticipated Savings or Quantifiable Description of Improvements in Service or Performance (if available)
						~~	N.D.	cost-technical	02/04	0.000	World Class Science
SA	R660 RDT&E			Research Opportunities for Flight Experiments in Space Life Sciences	competition	DC	N.D.	trade-off			
			l			DC	N.D.	cost-technical	02/04	0.000	World Class Science
SA	R660 RDT&E			Oceans and Ice	Standard competition conducted under a deviation			trade-off			
	1		17 2 2 2		<u> </u>	DC	N.D.	cost-technical	04/04	0.000	World Class Science
ASA	R660 RDT&E			Tropical Cloud Systems and Processes (TCSP)	Standard competition conducted under deviation			trade-off			
		Ì					N.D.	cost-technica	06/04	0.00	0 World Class Science
ASA	R660 RDT&E			AURA Validation & Science	Standard competition conducted under deviation	DC a	N.D.	trade-off		0.00	00 World Class Science
				NASA Energy an	d Standard	DC	N.D.	cost-technica	1 06/04	0.00	,0 ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
ASA	R660 RDT&E			Water Cycle Stud (NEWS) Step -1	ly competition	a		trade-off			
							N.D.	cost-technic	1 :06/04	0.0	00 World Class Science
NASA	R660 RDT&E			Inspiring the Ne Generation of Earth Explorers; Integrated Solutions for K- and Informal Education	competition conducted under deviation	DC ra		trade-off			
				<u> </u>			N.D.	cost-techni	cal 07/04	0.	000 World Class Science
NASA	R660 RDT&E			Earth Science Outreach Investigator Awards	Standard competition conducted und deviation Standard	DC er a	N.D.	trade-off		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	000 World Class Science
NASA	R660 RDT&E	ř.		Modeling, Analysis & Prediction (M/	competition			trade-off			
			1.5		<u> </u>	DC	N.D.	cost-techn	ical 08/04	0	.000 World Class Scien
NASA	R660 RDT&E			Instrument Incubator Prog (IIP)	Standard gram competition conducted un deviation			trade-off),000 World Class Scien
NASA	R660 RDT&E			Decision Supporthrough Earth Science Resu	competition	DC ider a	N.D.	cost-techt trade-off	nical 09/04		
								237			3.388
L		RD COMPET	ITIONS	1	·					1	ı

TOTAL, ALL COMPETITIONS

National Aeronautics and Space Administration FY 2003 COMPETITIVE SOURCING ACTIVITIES

SAVINGS & PERFORMANCE UPDATE

(Dollars in Millions)

						:			Quantifiabl
									e
									Description
									of
			Total						Improveme
			Estimated				Pd Over		nts in
			Savings .		Actual		Which		Service or
				Total	Phase-In		Actual	Savings	Performanc
			reported to		:	Actual	Savings	Methodolo	e (if
	Function				n Date		Accrued		appropriate
Bureau	•	Type of Cor			(Mo/Yr)	:	:	ion/ Proxy	
STREAMI		. Type of Col		(in years)					
SIKEAMI	i i								
A LET BY ALL MARKS	NOT								
	APPLICA	7							
	BLE								
SUBTOTA	L, STREAN	ILINED	0.000			0.000			
						<u> </u>			
STANDAR	D COMPE	TITIONS							
				Mark Comment	Maria N			L	
	NOT								
	APPLICA								
	BLE					!			<u> </u>
				Kar Carana		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
					<u> </u>	0.000	i Nystrana		
ISUBTOTA	L, STANDA	ARD	0.000			0.000			#

TOTAL, ALL COMPETITIONS	0.000	0.000